

1  
2  
3

## Animal Industry and Technology(축산기술과 산업) TITLE PAGE

Upload this completed form to website with submission

ARTICLE INFORMATION	Fill in information in each box below
<b>Article Type</b>	Review article
<b>Article Title (English; within 20 words without abbreviations)</b>	Strategies and Advances in Mitigating Heat Stress for Lactating Sows Productivity
<b>Article Title (Korean; English paper can be omitted)</b>	
<b>Running Title (English; within 10 words)</b>	Heat Stress Mitigation in Lactating Sows
<b>Author (English)</b>	Jun Young Mun, Abdolreza Hosseindoust, JinSoo Kim*
<b>Affiliation (English)</b>	Department of Animal Industry Convergence, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon, 24341, Republic of Korea
<b>Author (Korean; English paper can be omitted)</b>	
<b>Affiliation (Korean; English paper can be omitted)</b>	
<b>ORCID (for more information, please visit <a href="https://orcid.org">https://orcid.org</a>)</b>	Jun Young Mun(0000-0002-3075-7157) Abdolreza Hosseindoust (0000-0001-9191-0613) JinSoo Kim (0000-0002-9518-7917)
<b>Competing interests</b>	No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.
<b>Funding sources</b> State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available.	Not applicable.
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	Not applicable.
<b>Availability of data and material</b>	Upon reasonable request, the datasets of this study can be available from the corresponding author.
<b>Authors' contributions</b> Please specify the authors' role using this form.	Writing - original draft: Hosseindoust A, Kim JS. Writing - review & editing: Hosseindoust A, Kim JS.
<b>Ethics approval and consent to participate</b>	This article does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no human and animal participants.

4  
5

### CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION

For the corresponding author (responsible for correspondence, proofreading, and reprints)	Fill in information in each box below
First name, middle initial, last name	Jin Soo Kim
Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent	kjs896@kangwon.ac.kr

Secondary Email address	
Address	Department of Animal Industry Convergence, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon, 24341, Republic of Korea
Cell phone number	01025665961
Office phone number	+82-33-250-8616
Fax number	+82-33-244-4946

6  
7

Accepted

## 8 **Abstract**

9 This review addresses the intricate challenges posed by heat stress on lactating sows within the context of  
10 swine production. As temperatures continue to rise globally, the physiological responses of lactating sows  
11 to heat stress, including thermoregulation, hormonal dynamics, and metabolic impacts, become  
12 increasingly critical. The exploration encompasses diverse facets, including ambient temperature,  
13 humidity, ventilation, and current management practices. Analyzing existing approaches reveals  
14 nutritional strategies, technological innovations, and dietary adjustments aimed at enhancing thermal  
15 resilience. Furthermore, advancements in cooling systems, smart farming solutions, and considerations  
16 for sow welfare are explored. The review extends to stress indicators, enrichment strategies, and genetic  
17 approaches, emphasizing the importance of holistic farm management. The complexities of selective  
18 breeding, genetic markers, and molecular tools are unveiled alongside reproductive and lactation  
19 management strategies. The discussion culminates in holistic farm management, success stories, and a  
20 forward-looking exploration of emerging technologies and unexplored frontiers in heat stress mitigation  
21 for lactating sows. This synthesis contributes to a nuanced understanding and strategic approach to ensure  
22 the well-being and productivity of lactating sows within the evolving landscape of contemporary swine  
23 production.

24  
25 **Keywords:** Heat stress, Lactating sows, Thermoregulation, Environment, Nutrition, Genetic, Holistic  
26 farm

27

28

## **Introduction**

29 The well-being and productivity of lactating sows in swine production are linked to the challenges posed  
30 by heat stress [1–3]. As global temperatures rise and climatic patterns become more unpredictable, the  
31 adverse effects of heat stress on these contributors to the industry demand comprehensive exploration and  
32 strategic solutions. Lactating sows are particularly susceptible to heat stress because milk production  
33 substantially increases metabolic heat load, exceeding the sow's capacity for thermoregulation under high

34 ambient temperatures [4]. This review evaluates the multifaceted aspects of heat stress in lactating sows,  
35 encompassing physiological responses, environmental influences, existing mitigation approaches, and  
36 emerging strategies. Effects of dietary [5,6], technological [7,8], and genetic [9,10] interventions are  
37 essential to the intricate interplay of thermoregulation, hormonal dynamics, and environmental factors in  
38 order to have a holistic understanding of effective management practices. From the complexities of  
39 recognizing stress indicators to the successes and challenges in selective breeding, this exploration seeks  
40 to contribute to the evolving discourse on heat stress in lactating sows. In this review report,  
41 understanding the current knowledge, limitations, and unexplored territories will be discussed aiming to  
42 evaluate a resilient and sustainable future in swine production under the constraints of a changing climate.

43

#### 44 **Heat stress in lactating sows**

45 The contextualization of heat stress in lactating sows within the domain of swine production elucidates a  
46 critical concern necessitating profound inquiry. Heat stress, arising from elevated ambient temperatures,  
47 instigates a cascade of intricate physiological responses in lactating sows, each facet underscoring the  
48 organisms endeavor to maintain homeostasis amidst thermal adversity [4,11]. Central to these adaptive  
49 mechanisms is the induction of heat shock protein (HSP), a conserved cellular defense mechanism  
50 activated in response to thermal stress [1,2,12]. HSPs, through their molecular chaperoning functions,  
51 mitigate protein denaturation and facilitate cellular repair, thereby safeguarding vital cellular structures  
52 and functions [1]. Concurrently, the endocrine system orchestrates an intricate response to heat stress,  
53 with cortisol emerging as a key player [13–15]. Elevated cortisol levels, indicative of the stress response,  
54 influence metabolic processes, in turn, modulate immune functions, emphasizing the systemic impact of  
55 heat stress [16,17]. Moreover, the thyroid hormone axis undergoes perturbations, influencing thermogenic  
56 processes and contributing to the overall metabolic recalibration observed during thermal stress [18,19].  
57 The intersection of these molecular and endocrine responses underscores the nuanced nature of the  
58 physiological adaptations employed by lactating sows in the face of heat stress. Increased respiration  
59 rates, a consequence of thermal stress, further exacerbate metabolic demands, necessitating a delicate  
60 balance between respiratory and thermoregulatory functions [7,20]. In unraveling these intricate

61 physiological responses, this exploration not only provides a foundational understanding of the challenges  
62 posed by heat stress but also serves as a precursor to the subsequent delineation of targeted mitigation  
63 strategies for sustaining optimal lactation performance in swine production systems.

64

### 65 **Thermoregulation, hormones, and environmental influences**

66 The thermoregulatory challenges confronted by lactating sows amid heat stress constitute a multifaceted  
67 interplay of physiological mechanisms essential for thermal homeostasis. Central to this paradigm is the  
68 thermoneutral zone, the temperature range wherein sows can maintain basal metabolic rates without  
69 expending energy on thermoregulatory efforts. Beyond this range, a series of adaptive responses ensue to  
70 dissipate excess heat. Vasodilation, a primary thermoregulatory mechanism, facilitates increased blood  
71 flow to peripheral tissues, promoting heat dissipation through convective and conductive processes [21].  
72 Concurrently, the onset of sweating, albeit limited in swine, coupled with increased respiration rates,  
73 serves as an evaporative cooling mechanism crucial for thermal equilibrium [7,20,22]. These responses,  
74 orchestrated by the central nervous system and modulated by peripheral receptors [23], aim to counteract  
75 the deleterious effects of hyperthermia on cellular function.

76 Hormonal and metabolic impacts further underscore the systemic consequences of heat stress in lactating  
77 sows [17,22]. The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis assumes a pivotal role, with the release of  
78 cortisol, the principal glucocorticoid, orchestrating adaptive responses [24]. While cortisol mobilizes  
79 energy reserves through gluconeogenesis and lipolysis [1,24], its chronic elevation poses a challenge,  
80 inducing catabolism and compromising nutrient utilization. The thyrotropic axis, concurrently affected,  
81 manifests alterations in thyroid hormone secretion, influencing metabolic rate and energy expenditure  
82 [22]. This intricate interplay of endocrine mediators implicates broader metabolic shifts, potentially  
83 compromising lactation efficiency.

84 Environmental factors influence the manifestation and severity of heat stress in lactating sows [3].  
85 Ambient temperature and humidity enforce the thermal challenge faced by sows [7]. As ambient  
86 temperatures increase, the efficiency of convective and evaporative cooling diminishes, intensifying the

87 strain on thermoregulatory mechanisms [11]. Ventilation assumes critical importance, with inadequate air  
88 exchange fostering the accumulation of heat and exacerbating thermal stress [25]. Consideration of air  
89 quality is often overlooked, as poor ventilation not only compromises thermal regulation but also exposes  
90 sows to respiratory challenges [7]. Environmental conditions strongly influence the thermoregulatory,  
91 hormonal, and metabolic responses of lactating sows, highlighting the need for clear strategies to alleviate  
92 the negative impacts of heat stress in swine production.

93

#### 94 **Ambient conditions, ventilation, and current management challenges**

95 The interplay of ambient temperature and humidity profoundly influences the thermal comfort of lactating  
96 sows [3,26], necessitating an examination to comprehend the intricate physiological responses and inform  
97 adaptive management strategies. Elevated ambient temperatures, particularly in combination with high  
98 humidity levels increase the challenge in the sow ability to dissipate heat efficiently [27].  
99 Thermoregulatory mechanisms, including vasodilation and evaporative cooling, become less effective  
100 during heat stress [9]. Consequently, a comprehensive understanding of the thermal thresholds and  
101 thermoneutral zones specific to lactating sows is important for devising targeted interventions. Ventilation  
102 and air quality, pivotal components of the microenvironment, exert influence on thermal dynamics [25].  
103 Inadequate ventilation compromises the removal of heat and humidity, intensifying the thermal burden on  
104 sows [25]. Furthermore, suboptimal air quality, marked by elevated levels of ammonia and particulate  
105 matter, not only compromises respiratory health but also exacerbates heat stress by impeding efficient  
106 cooling mechanisms [7,19]. Effective ventilation strategies, encompassing airflow rates, directional  
107 control, and pollutant removal, thus emerge as pivotal elements in mitigating the adverse effects of heat  
108 stress on lactating sows.

109 Current management practices and challenges encapsulate a spectrum of considerations, spanning  
110 nutrition, housing, and husbandry protocols, each intricately linked to the overarching goal of alleviating  
111 heat stress in lactating sows [21]. Dietary strategies, tailored to augment thermotolerance, represent a

112 central facet, with the inclusion of heat-mitigating additives and adjustments in nutrient composition  
113 aiming to enhance metabolic resilience. Management protocols necessitate synchronization with the  
114 physiological demands of lactation, requiring meticulous attention to reproductive scheduling and  
115 weaning strategies. Challenges persist in reconciling these multifaceted aspects [28], with limitations in  
116 existing approaches underscored by the need for integrated, interdisciplinary strategies. Consequently, a  
117 critical appraisal of current management practices, scrutinizing their efficacy in the context of heat stress,  
118 sets the stage for informed recommendations aimed at augmenting the thermal resilience of lactating sows  
119 within contemporary swine production paradigms.

120

### 121 **Approaches, limits, and nutritional strategies for heat stress**

122 An examination of existing approaches to mitigate heat stress in lactating sows emphasizes a critical  
123 analysis of the multifaceted strategies employed within the swine production paradigm. Existing  
124 interventions encompass a spectrum of modalities, spanning nutritional, environmental, and management  
125 domains, each striving to ameliorate the thermal challenges encountered by lactating sows [3,26].  
126 However, an appraisal of these approaches reveals inherent limitations that underscore the complexity of  
127 mitigating heat stress. Environmental modifications, such as shade provision and improved ventilation,  
128 although impactful to some extent, but inadequate in alleviating the thermal burden during peak heat  
129 events [3,23]. Management practices, including altered reproductive schedules and weaning strategies,  
130 while contributing to stress reduction, pose logistical challenges and may compromise overall production  
131 efficiency.

132 In the realm of nutritional strategies for heat stress alleviation, the focus extends to the modulation of  
133 dietary composition and the incorporation of specific supplements tailored to enhance thermotolerance [1].  
134 Nutritional interventions aim to address the increased metabolic demands imposed by heat stress and  
135 mitigate the associated catabolic effects. Strategic adjustments in nutrient composition, including  
136 alterations in protein, energy, fiber, and amino acid levels, serve to optimize nutrient utilization under

137 thermal duress [1,29,30]. Additionally, the inclusion of feed additives, such as antioxidants, electrolytes,  
138 and direct-fed microbials, targets specific facets of the physiological response to heat stress [31,32].  
139 Antioxidants, for instance, counteract oxidative stress induced by thermal challenges, while electrolytes  
140 aid in maintaining electrolyte balance compromised during increased respiration rates [31,32]. A thorough  
141 understanding of the mode of action of these nutritional strategies, at the molecular and metabolic levels,  
142 forms the crux of advancing targeted interventions [10,11,33]. Yet, limitations persist, necessitating  
143 ongoing research endeavors to refine nutritional protocols and devise innovative formulations that  
144 comprehensively address the intricate physiological dynamics underpinning heat stress in lactating sows.

145

#### 146 **Dietary resilience, supplements, feed additions, and technology**

147 In the pursuit of enhancing thermal resilience in lactating sows, dietary adjustments stand as a pivotal  
148 avenue, leveraging intricate nutritional modulation to fortify metabolic capacities [1,5,29,34]. The  
149 optimization of nutrient composition, particularly focusing on energy and protein levels is geared towards  
150 mitigating the increased energy expenditure and catabolic effects induced by heat stress. Furthermore, the  
151 nuanced role of amino acids, such as arginine and glutamine, becomes pronounced, serving as precursors  
152 for nitric oxide production and contributing to the modulation of immune responses and vascular function  
153 [21,35]. Beyond macronutrient manipulation, micronutrients assume significance, with vitamins and  
154 minerals acting as cofactors in various enzymatic reactions implicated in thermoregulation and cellular  
155 homeostasis [9,36].

156 Concurrently, the role of nutritional supplements in enhancing thermal resilience unfolds as a distinctive  
157 facet. Antioxidants, including vitamins C and E, selenium, and carotenoids, operate at the cellular level,  
158 counteracting oxidative stress induced by thermal challenges [17,36]. Moreover, the inclusion of omega-3  
159 fatty acids, notably eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), not only confers anti-  
160 inflammatory properties but also influences membrane fluidity, potentially ameliorating the deleterious  
161 effects of thermal stress on cellular structures [37–39]. Innovations in feed additives represent an evolving

162 frontier, capitalizing on advancements in nutritional science and biochemistry. Probiotics and prebiotics,  
163 for instance, modulate the gut microbiota [40–42], fostering a symbiotic relationship that contributes to  
164 immune modulation and nutrient absorption. Direct-fed microbials, encompassing beneficial bacteria and  
165 yeast, operate through mechanisms such as competitive exclusion and immune stimulation, enhancing  
166 gastrointestinal health [43–45]. Phytogetic feed additives, derived from plants, showcase antioxidant and  
167 anti-inflammatory properties, further expanding the repertoire of nutritional strategies [46–48].

168

### 169 **Cooling systems, smart farming, microenvironments, and welfare**

170 Simultaneously, technological innovations in housing and facilities emerge as indispensable components  
171 against heat stress. Precision cooling systems, employing evaporative cooling pads and misting  
172 technologies, provide localized temperature control within housing structures [7,22,49]. Smart farming  
173 solutions, encompassing environmental sensors and automated climate control, enable real-time  
174 monitoring and adaptive adjustments, optimizing the microenvironment for sow well-being [50].  
175 Additionally, the integration of thermography and precision livestock farming technologies facilitates  
176 early detection of thermal stress indicators, allowing for proactive interventions.

177 Advances in cooling systems represent a paradigm shift in the management of heat stress for lactating  
178 sows, leveraging technological innovation to optimize thermal comfort within housing structures  
179 [7,22,49]. Evaporative cooling pads, a cornerstone of modern cooling systems, facilitate efficient heat  
180 dissipation through the evaporation of water, effectively lowering the ambient temperature [7,22,49].  
181 Complementary to this, misting technologies operate on the principles of adiabatic cooling, harnessing the  
182 heat absorption capacity of water droplets to reduce the overall temperature of the environment [7]. These  
183 systems, often integrated with precision climate control algorithms, enable fine-tuned adjustments to  
184 match sow-specific thermal requirements. Concurrently, smart farming solutions guide a transformative  
185 era in climate control, employing a network of environmental sensors and automated feedback  
186 mechanisms [9,51]. These sensors, measuring parameters such as temperature, humidity, and air quality,

187 provide real-time data that informs adaptive adjustments in ventilation rates, cooling systems, and  
188 microenvironmental conditions. The integration of artificial intelligence algorithms further refines these  
189 systems, allowing for predictive modeling and proactive interventions to preemptively address impending  
190 heat stress challenges.

191 Optimizing microenvironments for lactating sows encompasses a holistic approach that extends beyond  
192 traditional cooling methods. Tailored housing designs, featuring shaded areas and strategic positioning of  
193 cooling apparatus, aim to create zones where sows can selectively seek thermal relief. Moreover, the  
194 introduction of adjustable microclimate zones within housing structures, facilitated by curtains or  
195 partitions, permits dynamic management of temperature gradients, accommodating the diverse thermal  
196 preferences of individual sows [10,52,53]. The optimization of flooring materials, incorporating heat-  
197 dissipating materials and providing comfortable resting areas, further contributes to enhancing the overall  
198 microenvironment and mitigating thermal stress.

199 Behavioral and welfare considerations emerge as intrinsic components in the discourse of heat stress  
200 management, emphasizing the psychological and physiological well-being of lactating sows [3,54,55].  
201 Beyond the physiological manifestations, heat stress impacts the behavioral repertoire of sows, often  
202 leading to altered feeding patterns, reduced activity levels, and altered social interactions [55,56].  
203 Recognizing these behavioral indicators becomes imperative for early detection and intervention.  
204 Enrichment strategies, encompassing the provision of manipulable materials and environmental stimuli,  
205 aim to mitigate stress through the promotion of natural behaviors. Additionally, considerations for space  
206 allowance and social dynamics within groups of lactating sows play a pivotal role in fostering a positive  
207 welfare state [57–59]. In the convergence of technological innovation, microenvironment optimization,  
208 and behavioral welfare considerations, a comprehensive approach to managing heat stress in lactating  
209 sows materializes, grounded in both scientific principles and ethical dimensions within contemporary  
210 swine production systems.

211

## 212 **Stress recognition and enrichment strategies**

213 Recognizing stress indicators in lactating sows is an important issue, requiring an in-depth understanding  
214 of both physiological and behavioral manifestations. Physiologically, heat stress induces alterations in  
215 hormonal profiles, with increased cortisol levels serving as a primary indicator of the stress response  
216 [7,13,60]. Furthermore, the activation of the HPA axis contributes to systemic physiological changes,  
217 including alterations in metabolic processes and immune functions [15,16]. Behaviorally, lactating sows  
218 exhibit shifts, including increased respiration rates, reduced feed intake, altered lying patterns, and  
219 heightened restlessness [1,5,7]. The integration of precision monitoring technologies, such as  
220 accelerometers and thermal imaging, allows for non-invasive, real-time assessment of these indicators.  
221 Enrichment strategies, designed to enhance the well-being of lactating sows, constitute a proactive  
222 approach in mitigating stressors. Environmental enrichment, encompassing the provision of manipulable  
223 materials, rooting substrates, and spaces conducive to exploratory behaviors, offers a means of  
224 stimulating cognitive engagement and attenuating stress responses [52,58]. Additionally, nutritional  
225 enrichment, involving the incorporation of palatable and varied diets, serves to not only address dietary  
226 preferences but also provide a sensory dimension to the sow's environment [4,61,62].

227

## 228 **Selective breeding and genetic**

229 Selective breeding for heat-tolerant traits represents a pivotal avenue in the ongoing pursuit of enhancing  
230 thermotolerance in lactating sows within the context of swine production. The foundational principle lies  
231 in the identification and prioritization of specific phenotypic traits that confer thermoregulatory  
232 advantages. Genetic approaches to heat resilience present a frontier rooted in selective breeding for  
233 thermotolerant traits [9,10,63]. Identifying genetic markers associated with heat resilience enables the  
234 targeted enhancement of adaptive mechanisms [9]. Polymorphisms related to thermoregulatory pathways,  
235 such as those involved in HSP or immune responses, offer potential avenues for genetic selection [63].  
236 Integrating genomic tools and molecular breeding strategies allows for the systematic improvement of

237 sow populations, fostering enhanced resilience to heat stress [9,63]. In the convergence of physiological  
238 monitoring, enrichment strategies, and genetic advancements, a multifaceted framework emerges for  
239 recognizing, mitigating, and preemptively addressing the deleterious effects of heat stress in lactating  
240 sows within the context of contemporary swine production. Traits encompassing both physiological and  
241 behavioral adaptations to heat stress are targeted, including increased HSP expression [12], efficient heat  
242 dissipation mechanisms [10], and altered thermoregulatory behaviors [3,55,56]. The integration of  
243 advanced genomic tools and molecular techniques facilitates the identification of heritable markers  
244 associated with these advantageous traits. Genome-wide association studies and quantitative trait loci  
245 analyses [8] unveil the genetic variants linked to heat resilience, providing a roadmap for selective  
246 breeding programs. Molecular tools, such as single nucleotide polymorphism markers, enable precise and  
247 efficient selection of desired traits, fostering accelerated progress in breeding programs [8].

248 Complementary to genetic advancements, management protocols and best practices emerge as  
249 indispensable components in mitigating the impact of heat stress on lactating sows. The strategic  
250 synchronization of reproductive cycles with environmental conditions, known as seasonal breeding  
251 management, allows for the optimization of lactation periods during mild climatic phases [9,10].  
252 Additionally, strategic weaning practices, such as adapting weaning ages to align with periods of reduced  
253 heat stress [34], contribute to reducing the overall thermal burden on sows. Further, the implementation of  
254 heat abatement strategies within housing structures, including shade provision, adequate space allowance,  
255 and optimized ventilation, represents a synergy between genetic advancements and environmental  
256 management [9,58,63]. The integration of these multifaceted management protocols aligns with the  
257 broader goal of ameliorating the adverse effects of heat stress on lactating sows and underscores the  
258 necessity for a holistic approach that integrates genetic, environmental, and husbandry considerations  
259 within contemporary swine production paradigms.

260

261 **Reproductive and lactation strategies**

262 Reproductive and lactation management in heat-stressed environments necessitates an understanding of  
263 the interplay between the physiological demands of reproduction and the challenges imposed by thermal  
264 stress on lactating sows. Heat stress profoundly influences reproductive performance, as evidenced by  
265 disruptions in estrous expression, altered follicular development, and compromised oocyte quality [11,20].  
266 Thermal stress during gestation further exacerbates these challenges, leading to reduced litter sizes and  
267 compromised fetal development [10,23]. In lactation, heat stress imposes additional burdens, manifesting  
268 as diminished milk production and altered composition, ultimately compromising the growth and vitality  
269 of piglets [20,61]. Consequently, comprehensive heat stress prevention strategies are essential to  
270 safeguard reproductive success and lactation efficiency. Precision cooling systems, strategically  
271 implemented within farrowing facilities, aim to create microenvironments that alleviate thermal stress  
272 during critical reproductive and lactation phases [7,49]. Moreover, nutritional interventions, tailored to the  
273 specific metabolic demands imposed by heat stress, serve to enhance the overall resilience of lactating  
274 sows [1,24,36]. Antioxidant supplementation, such as vitamin E and C, mitigates oxidative stress,  
275 preserving reproductive and lactation performance [17,36]. The inclusion of amino acids, particularly  
276 those influencing the production of neurotransmitters and hormones, aids in modulating stress responses  
277 [24,61]. Additionally, the integration of minerals, such as selenium, chromium and zinc, augments  
278 metabolic pathways implicated in thermal adaptation [17,64]. Integrated approaches, culminating in case  
279 studies, provide contextualized insights into the application and efficacy of multifaceted strategies. Case  
280 studies illuminate the intricate orchestration of genetic, nutritional, and environmental interventions  
281 within specific production systems, shedding light on the practical challenges and successes encountered.  
282 In unraveling these complexities, an academic discourse emerges, emphasizing the necessity of a holistic  
283 approach that amalgamates scientific understanding with practical applications to mitigate the  
284 multifactorial impact of heat stress on reproductive and lactating sows in modern swine production.

285

286 **Holistic farm management**

287 Holistic farm management strategies represent a multifaceted paradigm encompassing the integration of  
288 genetic, environmental, nutritional, and managerial facets to ameliorate the impact of heat stress on  
289 lactating sows within the broader context of swine production. These strategies pivot on the premise that  
290 addressing heat stress necessitates a comprehensive understanding of the interconnected factors  
291 influencing the sow's physiological responses to elevated temperatures. Genetic selection for  
292 thermotolerance, coupled with precision climate control systems, forms the genetic-environmental nexus,  
293 optimizing the microenvironment within housing structures [9,10,63]. Concurrently, nutritional strategies  
294 tailored to sow-specific metabolic demands contribute to the holistic approach by bolstering  
295 thermoregulatory efficiency and mitigating the systemic effects of thermal stress [21,24]. Successful farm  
296 management encompasses reproductive scheduling aligned with periods of reduced heat stress, strategic  
297 weaning protocols, and the incorporation of effective cooling measures [6]. Success performance in heat  
298 stress management provides insights into the tangible benefits of holistic strategies. These improvements  
299 in reproductive performance, enhanced sow welfare, and increased piglet vitality within specific  
300 production contexts [1,11]. However, despite these successes, future directions and research gaps  
301 necessitate continued inquiry to refine existing strategies and unearth novel approaches. The molecular  
302 underpinnings of thermotolerance, including the identification of additional genetic markers and pathways,  
303 remain areas ripe for exploration. Moreover, an in-depth understanding of the long-term implications of  
304 holistic interventions, spanning multiple reproductive cycles, is pivotal for the sustained success of such  
305 strategies. The integration of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and advanced  
306 genomics, holds promise in revolutionizing heat stress management and improving resilient and  
307 sustainable future in swine production.

308

### 309 **Emerging unexplored frontiers in heat stress**

310 Emerging technologies and research frontiers in the domain of heat stress mitigation for lactating sows  
311 herald a paradigmatic shift, marked by the infusion of cutting-edge tools and innovative methodologies  
312 that delve into unexplored areas of physiological adaptation and environmental manipulation. On the

313 forefront of research frontiers lies the application of advanced genomics, where high-throughput  
314 sequencing techniques, coupled with precision genome-editing technologies such as single-nucleotide  
315 polymorphism and genome-wide association studies, offer unprecedented opportunities for the  
316 identification and manipulation of specific genetic loci associated with heat resilience [8,65]. The  
317 integration of transcriptomics and metabolomics unveils intricate molecular pathways and metabolite  
318 signatures indicative of thermotolerance [2,12], providing a holistic understanding of the adaptive  
319 responses within the sow. Concurrently, the utilization of artificial intelligence and machine learning  
320 algorithms, harnessing large-scale datasets encompassing genotypic, phenotypic, and environmental  
321 parameters, facilitates predictive modeling of heat stress susceptibility and aids in the formulation of  
322 personalized interventions.

323 Environmental manipulation, guided by advancements in precision livestock farming, represents another  
324 unexplored frontier [18,20,52]. Real-time monitoring systems, equipped with environmental sensors and  
325 thermographic imaging, enable the assessment of thermal dynamics and sow well-being [18]. Moreover,  
326 the incorporation of microclimate modulation within housing structures, leveraging smart materials and  
327 adaptive control systems, allows for precise adjustment of temperature gradients, catering to the diverse  
328 thermal preferences of individual sows. The exploration of uncharted territories also extends to the  
329 microbiome, with investigations into the gut and skin microbiota fostering insights into the symbiotic  
330 relationships influencing immune function and thermoregulation.

331 Furthermore, the intersection of behavioral sciences and heat stress research unveils unexplored cognitive  
332 dimensions, delving into stress coping mechanisms, social dynamics, and the impact of enriched  
333 environments on sow behavior [3,54]. In this amalgamation of emerging technologies and research  
334 frontiers, the unexplored areas in heat stress mitigation manifest as intricate landscapes where molecular,  
335 environmental, and behavioral intricacies converge. Continued inquiry into these realms is pivotal for the  
336 holistic advancement of heat stress management strategies, offering a nuanced understanding of the  
337 physiological, genetic, and environmental nuances influencing the resilience of lactating sows within  
338 contemporary swine production paradigms.

339

340 **Conclusion**

341 In conclusion, mitigating heat stress in lactating sows demands a holistic strategy integrating nutritional,  
342 technological, genetic, and behavioral considerations. Physiological responses to elevated temperatures  
343 involve intricate mechanisms like vasodilation and hormonal modulation. Environmental factors such as  
344 temperature, humidity, and ventilation significantly impact heat stress severity. Strategies explored  
345 encompass dietary adjustments, technological innovations, genetic approaches, and behavioral  
346 considerations. Holistic farm management, combining these elements, shows tangible benefits in  
347 reproductive performance and sow welfare. Emerging technologies like genomics, artificial intelligence,  
348 and microbiome research offer new avenues. Future research must explore the long-term implications and  
349 sustainability of interventions. Integrating these advancements promises to reshape our understanding and  
350 management of heat stress in lactating sows, ensuring their well-being and productivity in modern swine  
351 production.

352

353

354

**Korean Abstract**

355 main text

356

357

358

**Acknowledgments**

359 main text

360

361

362

363

**References**

- 364 1. Oh S, Hosseindoust A, Ha S, Moturi J, Mun J, Tajudeen H, et al. Metabolic Responses of Dietary Fiber  
365 during Heat Stress: Effects on Reproductive Performance and Stress Level of Gestating Sows.  
366 *Metabolites*. 2022;12.
- 367 2. He J, Guo H, Zheng W, Xue Y, Zhao R, Yao W. Heat stress affects fecal microbial and metabolic

368 alterations of primiparous sows during late gestation. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol.* 2019;10.

369 3. Baert S, Aubé L, Haley DB, Bergeron R, Devillers N. The protective role of wallowing against heat  
370 stress in gestating and lactating sows housed outdoors. *Physiol Behav.* 2022;254.

371 4. Tummaruk P, De Rensis F, Kirkwood RN. Managing prolific sows in tropical environments. *Mol.*  
372 *Reprod. Dev.* 2023.

373 5. Shang Q, Liu S, Liu H, Mahfuz S, Piao X. Impact of sugar beet pulp and wheat bran on serum  
374 biochemical profile, inflammatory responses and gut microbiota in sows during late gestation and  
375 lactation. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol.* 2021;12.

376 6. Moturi J, Hosseindoust A, Tajudeen H, Mun JY, Ha SH, Kim JS. Influence of dietary fiber intake and  
377 soluble to insoluble fiber ratio on reproductive performance of sows during late gestation under hot  
378 climatic conditions. *Sci Rep [Internet].* 2022;12:19749. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-23811-8)  
379 [022-23811-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-23811-8)

380 7. Silva BAN, Oliveira RFM, Donzele JL, Fernandes HC, Abreu MLT, Noblet J, et al. Effect of floor  
381 cooling on performance of lactating sows during summer. *Livest Sci.* 2006;105.

382 8. Tajudeen H, Moturi J, Hosseindoust A, Ha S, Mun J, Choi Y, et al. Effects of various cooling methods  
383 and drinking water temperatures on reproductive performance and behavior in heat stressed sows. *J Anim*  
384 *Sci Technol. Korea (South);* 2022;64:782–91.

385 9. Shi L, Li Y, Liu Q, Zhang L, Wang L, Liu X, et al. Identification of SNPs and Candidate Genes for  
386 Milk Production Ability in Yorkshire Pigs. *Front Genet.* 2021;12.

387 10. Gourdine JL, Mandonnet N, Giorgi M, Renaudeau D. Genetic parameters for thermoregulation and  
388 production traits in lactating sows reared in tropical climate. *Animal.* 2017;11.

389 11. Black JL, Mullan BP, Lorsch ML, Giles LR. Lactation in the sow during heat stress. *Livest Prod Sci.*  
390 1993;35.

391 12. Ni Y, Wu F, Chen Q, Cai J, Hu J, Shen J, et al. Long noncoding RNA and mRNA profiling of  
392 hypothalamic-pituitary-mammary gland axis in lactating sows under heat stress. *Genomics.* 2020;112.

393 13. Nejad JG, Sung KI. Blood hormone profiles, physiological variables, and behavioral criteria in  
394 Corriedale ewes fed different TMR moisture levels during thermal–humidity exposure. *Biol Rhythm Res.*  
395 2018;49.

396 14. Nejad JG, Ataallahi M, Park KH. Methodological validation of measuring Hanwoo hair cortisol  
397 concentration using bead beater and surgical scissors. *J Anim Sci Technol.* 2019;61:41.

398 15. Ataallahi M, Nejad JG, Park K-H. Selection of appropriate biomatrices for studies of chronic stress in  
399 animals: a review. *J Anim Sci Technol. Korea (South);* 2022;64:621–39.

400 16. Ghassemi Nejad J, Kim BW, Lee BH, Sung K Il. Coat and hair color: hair cortisol and serotonin  
401 levels in lactating Holstein cows under heat stress conditions. *Anim Sci J.* 2017;88:190–4.

402 17. Kim KY, Hosseindoust A, Choi YH, Kim MJ, Lee JH, Kim TG, et al. Hot-Melt Extruded Selenium: a  
403 Highly Absorbable Nano-Selenium in Lactating Sows Exposed to High Ambient Temperature. *Biol Trace*

404 Elem Res. 2021;199:3345–53.

405 18. Quiniou N. Results of 15 years of precision feeding of hyper prolific gestating sows. *Animals*.  
406 2021;11.

407 19. Rigo EJ, Antunes RC, Mundim A V., Gonçalves FC, Guimarães EC, Nascimento MRBM. Effect of  
408 two cooling systems on thyroid hormones and thermophysiological variables of lactating sows. *Arq Bras*  
409 *Med Vet e Zootec*. 2019;71.

410 20. Vilas Boas Ribeiro BP, Lanferdini E, Palencia JYP, Lemes MAG, Teixeira de Abreu ML, de Souza  
411 Cantarelli V, et al. Heat negatively affects lactating swine: A meta-analysis. *J Therm Biol*. 2018;74.

412 21. Liu F, de Ruyter EM, Athorn RZ, Brewster CJ, Henman DJ, Morrison RS, et al. Effects of L-citrulline  
413 supplementation on heat stress physiology, lactation performance and subsequent reproductive  
414 performance of sows in summer. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl)*. 2019;103.

415 22. Ricci G Dela, Silva-Miranda KO da, Titto CG. Infrared thermography as a non-invasive method for  
416 the evaluation of heat stress in pigs kept in pens free of cages in the maternity. *Comput Electron Agric*.  
417 2019;157.

418 23. He J, Zheng W, Lu M, Yang X, Xue Y, Yao W. A controlled heat stress during late gestation affects  
419 thermoregulation, productive performance, and metabolite profiles of primiparous sow. *J Therm Biol*.  
420 2019;81.

421 24. Choi Y, Hosseindoust A, Shim Y, Kim M, Kumar A, Oh S, et al. Evaluation of high nutrient diets on  
422 litter performance of heat-stressed lactating sows. *Asian-Australasian J Anim Sci*. 2017;30:1598.

423 25. Brandt P, Bjerg B, Pedersen P, Sørensen KB, Rong L, Huang T, et al. The effect of air temperature,  
424 velocity and humidity on respiration rate and rectal temperature as an expression of heat stress in  
425 gestating sows. *J Therm Biol*. 2022;104.

426 26. Cabezón FA, Schinckel AP, Marchant JN, Johnson JS, Stwalley RM. Effect of floor cooling on late  
427 lactation sows under acute heat stress. *Livest Sci*. 2017;206.

428 27. Johnson JS, Wen H, Freitas PHF, Maskal JM, Hartman SO, Byrd MK, et al. Evaluating phenotypes  
429 associated with heat tolerance and identifying moderate and severe heat stress thresholds in lactating sows  
430 housed in mechanically or naturally ventilated barns during the summer under commercial conditions. *J*  
431 *Anim Sci*. 2023;101.

432 28. Johnson JS, Jansen TL, Galvin M, Field TC, Graham JR, Stwalley RM, et al. Electronically controlled  
433 cooling pads can improve litter growth performance and indirect measures of milk production in heat-  
434 stressed lactating sows. *J Anim Sci*. 2022;100.

435 29. Choi YH, Moturi J, Hosseindoust A, Kim MJ, Kim KY, Lee JH, et al. Night feeding in lactating sows  
436 is an essential management approach to decrease the detrimental impacts of heat stress. *J Anim Sci*  
437 *Technol*. 2019;61:333.

438 30. Schoenherr WD, Stahly TS, Cromwell GL. The effects of dietary fat or fiber addition on yield and  
439 composition of milk from sows housed in a warm or hot environment. *J Anim Sci*. 1989;67.

- 440 31. Hosseindoust A, Oh SM, Ko HS, Jeon SM, Ha SH, Jang A, et al. Muscle antioxidant activity and  
441 meat quality are altered by supplementation of astaxanthin in broilers exposed to high temperature.  
442 Antioxidants. 2020;9:1032.
- 443 32. Moura CS, Lollo PCB, Morato PN, Amaya-Farfan J. Dietary nutrients and bioactive substances  
444 modulate heat shock protein (HSP) expression: A review. Nutrients. 2018.
- 445 33. Zhang S, Johnson JS, Trottier NL. Effect of dietary near ideal amino acid profile on heat production  
446 of lactating sows exposed to thermal neutral and heat stress conditions. J Anim Sci Biotechnol. 2020;11.
- 447 34. Farmer C. Review: Mammary development in swine: effects of hormonal status, nutrition and  
448 management. Can J Anim Sci. 2013;93.
- 449 35. Pérez Laspiur J, Farmer C, Kerr BJ, Zanella A, Trottier NL. Hormonal response to dietary L-arginine  
450 supplementation in heat-stressed sows. Can J Anim Sci. 2006;86.
- 451 36. Liu F, Cottrell JJ, Collins CL, Henman DJ, O'Halloran KSB, Dunshea FR. Supplementation of  
452 selenium, vitamin E, chromium and betaine above recommended levels improves lactating performance  
453 of sows over summer. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2017;49.
- 454 37. Lin S, Hou J, Xiang F, Zhang X, Che L, Lin Y, et al. Reproductive stage associated changes in plasma  
455 fatty acid profile and proinflammatory cytokine expression in rat mammary glands. Anim Nutr. 2016;2.
- 456 38. Llauradó-Calero E, Badiola I, Samarra I, Lizardo R, Torrallardona D, Esteve-Garcia E, et al.  
457 Eicosapentaenoic acid- and docosahexaenoic acid-rich fish oil in sow and piglet diets modifies blood  
458 oxylipins and immune indicators in both, sows and suckling piglets. Animal. 2022;16.
- 459 39. TAUGBØL O, FRAMSTAD T, SAAREM K. Supplements of Cod Liver Oil to Lactating Sows.  
460 Influence on Milk Fatty Acid Composition and Growth Performance of Piglets. J Vet Med Ser A.  
461 1993;40.
- 462 40. Moturi J, Kim KY, Hosseindoust A, Lee JH, Xuan B, Park J, et al. Effects of *Lactobacillus salivarius*  
463 isolated from feces of fast-growing pigs on intestinal microbiota and morphology of suckling piglets. Sci  
464 Rep. 2021;11:6757.
- 465 41. Choi Y, Goel A, Hosseindoust A, Lee S, Kim K, Jeon S, et al. Effects of dietary supplementation of  
466 *Ecklonia cava* with or without probiotics on the growth performance, nutrient digestibility, immunity and  
467 intestinal health in weanling pigs. Ital. J. Anim. Sci. 2016. p. 62–8.
- 468 42. Kim JS, Hosseindoust A, Lee SH, Choi YH, Kim MJ, Lee JH, et al. Bacteriophage cocktail and multi-  
469 strain probiotics in the feed for weanling pigs: Effects on intestine morphology and targeted intestinal  
470 coliforms and *Clostridium*. Animal. 2017;11:45–53.
- 471 43. Hosseindoust AR, Lee SH, Kim JS, Choi YH, Kwon IK, Chae BJ. Productive performance of  
472 weanling piglets was improved by administration of a mixture of bacteriophages, targeted to control  
473 *Coliforms* and *Clostridium* spp. shedding in a challenging environment. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr  
474 (Berl). 2017;101:98–107.
- 475 44. Hosseindoust A, Park JW, Kim IH. Effects of *Bacillus subtilis*, Kefir and  $\beta$ -Glucan Supplementation

476 on Growth Performance, Blood Characteristics, Meat Quality and Intestine Microbiota in Broilers.  
477 Korean J Poult Sci. 2016;43:159–67.

478 45. Kim MJ, Hosseindoust A, Choi YH, Lee JH, Kim KY, Kim TG, et al. Effects of Hot-Melt Extruded  
479 Nano-Copper as an Alternative for the Pharmacological Dose of Copper Sulfate in Weanling Pigs. Biol  
480 Trace Elem Res. 2021;199:2925–35.

481 46. Serpunja S, Abdolreza H, Kim IH. A Mixture of Thyme, Quillaja, and Anise at Different Nutrient  
482 Density on Growth Performance, Nutrient Digestibility, Meat Quality, Organ Weight, Cecal Bacteria,  
483 Excreta Moisture, and Bone Contents in Broiler Chicks. Korean J Poult Sci. 2017;44:151–9.

484 47. Mohammadi Gheisar M, Hosseindoust A, Kim IH. Evaluating the effect of microencapsulated blends  
485 of organic acids and essential oils in broiler chickens diet. J Appl Poult Res. 2015;24.

486 48. Jiao Y, Hosseindoust A, Zhang WL, Kim IH. Effects of salicornia herbacea on growth performance,  
487 meat quality, excreta microbial populations, and noxious gas emissions in broiler chicks. J Poult Sci.  
488 2019;56:44–51.

489 49. Maskal J, Cabezón FA, Schinckel AP, Marchant-Forde JN, Johnson JS, Stwalley RM. Evaluation of  
490 floor cooling on lactating sows under mild and moderate heat stress. Prof Anim Sci. 2018;34.

491 50. Van JCF, Tham PE, Lim HR, Khoo KS, Chang JS, Show PL. Integration of Internet-of-Things as  
492 sustainable smart farming technology for the rearing of black soldier fly to mitigate food waste. J Taiwan  
493 Inst Chem Eng. 2022;137.

494 51. Dourmad J-Y, Le Velly V, Gourdine J-L, Renaudeau D. Effect of ambient temperature in lactating  
495 sows, a meta-analysis and simulation approach in the context of climate change. Anim - Open Sp.  
496 2022;1:100025.

497 52. Kim KH, Hosseindoust A, Ingale SL, Lee SH, Noh HS, Choi YH, et al. Effects of gestational housing  
498 on reproductive performance and behavior of sows with different backfat thickness. Asian-Australasian J  
499 Anim Sci. 2016;29:42.

500 53. Bjerg B, Brandt P, Pedersen P, Zhang G. Sows' responses to increased heat load – A review. J.  
501 Therm. Biol. 2020.

502 54. Zhu Y, Johnston LJ, Reese MH, Buchanan ES, Tallaksen JE, Hilbrands AH, et al. Effects of cooled  
503 floor pads combined with chilled drinking water on behavior and performance of lactating sows under  
504 heat stress. J Anim Sci. 2021;99.

505 55. Liu L, Tai M, Yao W, Zhao R, Shen M. Effects of heat stress on posture transitions and reproductive  
506 performance of primiparous sows during late gestation. J Therm Biol. 2021;96.

507 56. Mós JV do N, Nascimento ST, Murata LS, dos Santos VM, Neto AJS, de Oliveira EM, et al. Thermal  
508 comfort of sows in free-range system in Brazilian Savanna. J Therm Biol. 2020;88.

509 57. Agyekum AK, Nyachoti CM. Nutritional and Metabolic Consequences of Feeding High-Fiber Diets  
510 to Swine: A Review. Engineering. 2017;3.

511 58. Bench CJ, Rioja-Lang FC, Hayne SM, Gonyou HW. Group gestation housing with individual

- 512 feeding-I: How feeding regime, resource allocation, and genetic factors affect sow welfare. *Livest. Sci.*  
513 2013.
- 514 59. Meunier-Salaün MC, Edwards SA, Robert S. Effect of dietary fibre on the behaviour and health of the  
515 restricted fed sow. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* 2001;90.
- 516 60. Ghassemi Nejad J, Lee BH, Kim JY, Kim BW, Chemere B, Park KH, et al. Comparing hair cortisol  
517 concentrations from various body sites and serum cortisol in Holstein lactating cows and heifers during  
518 thermal comfort zone. *J Vet Behav.* 2019;30:92–5.
- 519 61. Kim KY, Choi YH, Hosseindoust A, Kim MJ, Hwang SJ, Bu MS, et al. Evaluation of high nutrient  
520 diets and additional dextrose on reproductive performance and litter performance of heat-stressed  
521 lactating sows. *Anim Sci J.* 2019;90:1212–9.
- 522 62. Lee SH, Hosseindoust AR, Kim JS, Choi YH, Lee JH, Kwon IK, et al. Bacteriophages as a promising  
523 anti-pathogenic option in creep-feed for suckling piglets: Targeted to control *Clostridium* spp. and  
524 coliforms faecal shedding. *Livest Sci.* 2016;191.
- 525 63. Freitas PHF, Johnson JS, Wen H, Maskal JM, Tiezzi F, Maltecca C, et al. Genetic parameters for  
526 automatically-measured vaginal temperature, respiration efficiency, and other thermotolerance indicators  
527 measured on lactating sows under heat stress conditions. *Genet Sel Evol.* 2023;55.
- 528 64. Oh SM, Kim MJ, Hosseindoust A, Kim KY, Choi YH, Ham H Bin, et al. Hot melt extruded-based  
529 nano zinc as an alternative to the pharmacological dose of ZnO in weanling piglets. *Asian-Australasian J*  
530 *Anim Sci.* 2020;33:992.
- 531 65. Gutiérrez B, Domingo-Calap P. Phage therapy in gastrointestinal diseases. *Microorganisms.* 2020.

532  
533

## 534 **Tables and Figures**

- 535 - **Tables and Figures can be placed in separate files.**  
536