

1 <https://doi.org/10.5187/ait.2400022>

2

3

### Animal Industry and Technology(축산기술과 산업) TITLE PAGE

4

Upload this completed form to website with submission

5

ARTICLE INFORMATION	Fill in information in each box below
Article Type	Review article
Article Title (English; within 20 words without abbreviations)	Strategies and Advances in Mitigating Heat Stress for Lactating Sows Productivity
Article Title (Korean; English paper can be omitted)	
Running Title (English; within 10 words)	Heat Stress Mitigation in Lactating Sows
Author (English)	Jun Young Mun, Abdolreza Hosseindoust, JinSoo Kim*
Affiliation (English)	Department of Animal Industry Convergence, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon, 24341, Republic of Korea
Author (Korean; English paper can be omitted)	
Affiliation (Korean; English paper can be omitted)	
ORCID (for more information, please visit <a href="https://orcid.org">https://orcid.org</a> )	Jun Young Mun(0000-0002-3075-7157) Abdolreza Hosseindoust (0000-0001-9191-0613) JinSoo Kim (0000-0002-9518-7917)
Competing interests	No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.
Funding sources State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available.	Not applicable.
Acknowledgements	Not applicable.
Availability of data and material	Upon reasonable request, the datasets of this study can be available from the corresponding author.
Authors' contributions Please specify the authors' role using this form.	Writing - original draft: Hosseindoust A, Kim JS. Writing - review & editing: Hosseindoust A, Kim JS.
Ethics approval and consent to participate	This article does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no human and animal participants.

6

7

### CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION

For the corresponding author (responsible for correspondence, proofreading, and reprints)	Fill in information in each box below
First name, middle initial, last name	Jin Soo Kim

Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent	kjs896@kangwon.ac.kr
Secondary Email address	
Address	Department of Animal Industry Convergence, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon, 24341, Republic of Korea
Cell phone number	01025665961
Office phone number	+82-33-250-8616
Fax number	+82-33-244-4946

8  
9

ACCEPTED



36 ambient temperatures [4]. This review evaluates the multifaceted aspects of heat stress in lactating sows,  
37 encompassing physiological responses, environmental influences, existing mitigation approaches, and  
38 emerging strategies. Effects of dietary [5,6], technological [7,8], and genetic [9,10] interventions are  
39 essential to the intricate interplay of thermoregulation, hormonal dynamics, and environmental factors in  
40 order to have a holistic understanding of effective management practices. From the complexities of  
41 recognizing stress indicators to the successes and challenges in selective breeding, this exploration seeks  
42 to contribute to the evolving discourse on heat stress in lactating sows. In this review report,  
43 understanding the current knowledge, limitations, and unexplored territories will be discussed aiming to  
44 evaluate a resilient and sustainable future in swine production under the constraints of a changing climate.

45

#### 46 **Heat stress in lactating sows**

47 The contextualization of heat stress in lactating sows within the domain of swine production elucidates a  
48 critical concern necessitating profound inquiry. Heat stress, arising from elevated ambient temperatures,  
49 instigates a cascade of intricate physiological responses in lactating sows, each facet underscoring the  
50 organisms endeavor to maintain homeostasis amidst thermal adversity [4,11]. Central to these adaptive  
51 mechanisms is the induction of heat shock protein (HSP), a conserved cellular defense mechanism  
52 activated in response to thermal stress [1,2,12]. HSPs, through their molecular chaperoning functions,  
53 mitigate protein denaturation and facilitate cellular repair, thereby safeguarding vital cellular structures  
54 and functions [1]. Concurrently, the endocrine system orchestrates an intricate response to heat stress,  
55 with cortisol emerging as a key player [13–15]. Elevated cortisol levels, indicative of the stress response,  
56 influence metabolic processes, in turn, modulate immune functions, emphasizing the systemic impact of  
57 heat stress [16,17]. Moreover, the thyroid hormone axis undergoes perturbations, influencing thermogenic  
58 processes and contributing to the overall metabolic recalibration observed during thermal stress [18,19].  
59 The intersection of these molecular and endocrine responses underscores the nuanced nature of the  
60 physiological adaptations employed by lactating sows in the face of heat stress. Increased respiration  
61 rates, a consequence of thermal stress, further exacerbate metabolic demands, necessitating a delicate  
62 balance between respiratory and thermoregulatory functions [7,20]. In unraveling these intricate

63 physiological responses, this exploration not only provides a foundational understanding of the challenges  
64 posed by heat stress but also serves as a precursor to the subsequent delineation of targeted mitigation  
65 strategies for sustaining optimal lactation performance in swine production systems.

66

### 67 **Thermoregulation, hormones, and environmental influences**

68 The thermoregulatory challenges confronted by lactating sows amid heat stress constitute a multifaceted  
69 interplay of physiological mechanisms essential for thermal homeostasis. Central to this paradigm is the  
70 thermoneutral zone, the temperature range wherein sows can maintain basal metabolic rates without  
71 expending energy on thermoregulatory efforts. Beyond this range, a series of adaptive responses ensue to  
72 dissipate excess heat. Vasodilation, a primary thermoregulatory mechanism, facilitates increased blood  
73 flow to peripheral tissues, promoting heat dissipation through convective and conductive processes [21].  
74 Concurrently, the onset of sweating, albeit limited in swine, coupled with increased respiration rates,  
75 serves as an evaporative cooling mechanism crucial for thermal equilibrium [7,20,22]. These responses,  
76 orchestrated by the central nervous system and modulated by peripheral receptors [23], aim to counteract  
77 the deleterious effects of hyperthermia on cellular function.

78 Hormonal and metabolic impacts further underscore the systemic consequences of heat stress in lactating  
79 sows [17,22]. The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis assumes a pivotal role, with the release of  
80 cortisol, the principal glucocorticoid, orchestrating adaptive responses [24]. While cortisol mobilizes  
81 energy reserves through gluconeogenesis and lipolysis [1,24], its chronic elevation poses a challenge,  
82 inducing catabolism and compromising nutrient utilization. The thyrotropic axis, concurrently affected,  
83 manifests alterations in thyroid hormone secretion, influencing metabolic rate and energy expenditure  
84 [22]. This intricate interplay of endocrine mediators implicates broader metabolic shifts, potentially  
85 compromising lactation efficiency.

86 Environmental factors influence the manifestation and severity of heat stress in lactating sows [3].  
87 Ambient temperature and humidity enforce the thermal challenge faced by sows [7]. As ambient  
88 temperatures increase, the efficiency of convective and evaporative cooling diminishes, intensifying the

89 strain on thermoregulatory mechanisms [11]. Ventilation assumes critical importance, with inadequate air  
90 exchange fostering the accumulation of heat and exacerbating thermal stress [25]. Consideration of air  
91 quality is often overlooked, as poor ventilation not only compromises thermal regulation but also exposes  
92 sows to respiratory challenges [7]. Environmental conditions strongly influence the thermoregulatory,  
93 hormonal, and metabolic responses of lactating sows, highlighting the need for clear strategies to alleviate  
94 the negative impacts of heat stress in swine production.

95

### 96 **Ambient conditions, ventilation, and current management challenges**

97 The interplay of ambient temperature and humidity profoundly influences the thermal comfort of lactating  
98 sows [3,26], necessitating an examination to comprehend the intricate physiological responses and inform  
99 adaptive management strategies. Elevated ambient temperatures, particularly in combination with high  
100 humidity levels increase the challenge in the sow ability to dissipate heat efficiently [27].  
101 Thermoregulatory mechanisms, including vasodilation and evaporative cooling, become less effective  
102 during heat stress [9]. Consequently, a comprehensive understanding of the thermal thresholds and  
103 thermoneutral zones specific to lactating sows is important for devising targeted interventions. Ventilation  
104 and air quality, pivotal components of the microenvironment, exert influence on thermal dynamics [25].  
105 Inadequate ventilation compromises the removal of heat and humidity, intensifying the thermal burden on  
106 sows [25]. Furthermore, suboptimal air quality, marked by elevated levels of ammonia and particulate  
107 matter, not only compromises respiratory health but also exacerbates heat stress by impeding efficient  
108 cooling mechanisms [7,19]. Effective ventilation strategies, encompassing airflow rates, directional  
109 control, and pollutant removal, thus emerge as pivotal elements in mitigating the adverse effects of heat  
110 stress on lactating sows.

111 Current management practices and challenges encapsulate a spectrum of considerations, spanning  
112 nutrition, housing, and husbandry protocols, each intricately linked to the overarching goal of alleviating  
113 heat stress in lactating sows [21]. Dietary strategies, tailored to augment thermotolerance, represent a

114 central facet, with the inclusion of heat-mitigating additives and adjustments in nutrient composition  
115 aiming to enhance metabolic resilience. Management protocols necessitate synchronization with the  
116 physiological demands of lactation, requiring meticulous attention to reproductive scheduling and  
117 weaning strategies. Challenges persist in reconciling these multifaceted aspects [28], with limitations in  
118 existing approaches underscored by the need for integrated, interdisciplinary strategies. Consequently, a  
119 critical appraisal of current management practices, scrutinizing their efficacy in the context of heat stress,  
120 sets the stage for informed recommendations aimed at augmenting the thermal resilience of lactating sows  
121 within contemporary swine production paradigms.

122

### 123 **Approaches, limits, and nutritional strategies for heat stress**

124 An examination of existing approaches to mitigate heat stress in lactating sows emphasizes a critical  
125 analysis of the multifaceted strategies employed within the swine production paradigm. Existing  
126 interventions encompass a spectrum of modalities, spanning nutritional, environmental, and management  
127 domains, each striving to ameliorate the thermal challenges encountered by lactating sows [3,26].  
128 However, an appraisal of these approaches reveals inherent limitations that underscore the complexity of  
129 mitigating heat stress. Environmental modifications, such as shade provision and improved ventilation,  
130 although impactful to some extent, but inadequate in alleviating the thermal burden during peak heat  
131 events [3,23]. Management practices, including altered reproductive schedules and weaning strategies,  
132 while contributing to stress reduction, pose logistical challenges and may compromise overall production  
133 efficiency.

134 In the realm of nutritional strategies for heat stress alleviation, the focus extends to the modulation of  
135 dietary composition and the incorporation of specific supplements tailored to enhance thermotolerance [1].  
136 Nutritional interventions aim to address the increased metabolic demands imposed by heat stress and  
137 mitigate the associated catabolic effects. Strategic adjustments in nutrient composition, including  
138 alterations in protein, energy, fiber, and amino acid levels, serve to optimize nutrient utilization under

139 thermal duress [1,29,30]. Additionally, the inclusion of feed additives, such as antioxidants, electrolytes,  
140 and direct-fed microbials, targets specific facets of the physiological response to heat stress [31,32].  
141 Antioxidants, for instance, counteract oxidative stress induced by thermal challenges, while electrolytes  
142 aid in maintaining electrolyte balance compromised during increased respiration rates [31,32]. A thorough  
143 understanding of the mode of action of these nutritional strategies, at the molecular and metabolic levels,  
144 forms the crux of advancing targeted interventions [10,11,33]. Yet, limitations persist, necessitating  
145 ongoing research endeavors to refine nutritional protocols and devise innovative formulations that  
146 comprehensively address the intricate physiological dynamics underpinning heat stress in lactating sows.

147

#### 148 **Dietary resilience, supplements, feed additions, and technology**

149 In the pursuit of enhancing thermal resilience in lactating sows, dietary adjustments stand as a pivotal  
150 avenue, leveraging intricate nutritional modulation to fortify metabolic capacities [1,5,29,34]. The  
151 optimization of nutrient composition, particularly focusing on energy and protein levels is geared towards  
152 mitigating the increased energy expenditure and catabolic effects induced by heat stress. Furthermore, the  
153 nuanced role of amino acids, such as arginine and glutamine, becomes pronounced, serving as precursors  
154 for nitric oxide production and contributing to the modulation of immune responses and vascular function  
155 [21,35]. Beyond macronutrient manipulation, micronutrients assume significance, with vitamins and  
156 minerals acting as cofactors in various enzymatic reactions implicated in thermoregulation and cellular  
157 homeostasis [9,36].

158 Concurrently, the role of nutritional supplements in enhancing thermal resilience unfolds as a distinctive  
159 facet. Antioxidants, including vitamins C and E, selenium, and carotenoids, operate at the cellular level,  
160 counteracting oxidative stress induced by thermal challenges [17,36]. Moreover, the inclusion of omega-3  
161 fatty acids, notably eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), not only confers anti-  
162 inflammatory properties but also influences membrane fluidity, potentially ameliorating the deleterious  
163 effects of thermal stress on cellular structures [37–39]. Innovations in feed additives represent an evolving

164 frontier, capitalizing on advancements in nutritional science and biochemistry. Probiotics and prebiotics,  
165 for instance, modulate the gut microbiota [40–42], fostering a symbiotic relationship that contributes to  
166 immune modulation and nutrient absorption. Direct-fed microbials, encompassing beneficial bacteria and  
167 yeast, operate through mechanisms such as competitive exclusion and immune stimulation, enhancing  
168 gastrointestinal health [43–45]. Phytogetic feed additives, derived from plants, showcase antioxidant and  
169 anti-inflammatory properties, further expanding the repertoire of nutritional strategies [46–48].

170

### 171 **Cooling systems, smart farming, microenvironments, and welfare**

172 Simultaneously, technological innovations in housing and facilities emerge as indispensable components  
173 against heat stress. Precision cooling systems, employing evaporative cooling pads and misting  
174 technologies, provide localized temperature control within housing structures [7,22,49]. Smart farming  
175 solutions, encompassing environmental sensors and automated climate control, enable real-time  
176 monitoring and adaptive adjustments, optimizing the microenvironment for sow well-being [50].  
177 Additionally, the integration of thermography and precision livestock farming technologies facilitates  
178 early detection of thermal stress indicators, allowing for proactive interventions.

179 Advances in cooling systems represent a paradigm shift in the management of heat stress for lactating  
180 sows, leveraging technological innovation to optimize thermal comfort within housing structures  
181 [7,22,49]. Evaporative cooling pads, a cornerstone of modern cooling systems, facilitate efficient heat  
182 dissipation through the evaporation of water, effectively lowering the ambient temperature [7,22,49].  
183 Complementary to this, misting technologies operate on the principles of adiabatic cooling, harnessing the  
184 heat absorption capacity of water droplets to reduce the overall temperature of the environment [7]. These  
185 systems, often integrated with precision climate control algorithms, enable fine-tuned adjustments to  
186 match sow-specific thermal requirements. Concurrently, smart farming solutions guide a transformative  
187 era in climate control, employing a network of environmental sensors and automated feedback  
188 mechanisms [9,51]. These sensors, measuring parameters such as temperature, humidity, and air quality,

189 provide real-time data that informs adaptive adjustments in ventilation rates, cooling systems, and  
190 microenvironmental conditions. The integration of artificial intelligence algorithms further refines these  
191 systems, allowing for predictive modeling and proactive interventions to preemptively address impending  
192 heat stress challenges.

193 Optimizing microenvironments for lactating sows encompasses a holistic approach that extends beyond  
194 traditional cooling methods. Tailored housing designs, featuring shaded areas and strategic positioning of  
195 cooling apparatus, aim to create zones where sows can selectively seek thermal relief. Moreover, the  
196 introduction of adjustable microclimate zones within housing structures, facilitated by curtains or  
197 partitions, permits dynamic management of temperature gradients, accommodating the diverse thermal  
198 preferences of individual sows [10,52,53]. The optimization of flooring materials, incorporating heat-  
199 dissipating materials and providing comfortable resting areas, further contributes to enhancing the overall  
200 microenvironment and mitigating thermal stress.

201 Behavioral and welfare considerations emerge as intrinsic components in the discourse of heat stress  
202 management, emphasizing the psychological and physiological well-being of lactating sows [3,54,55].  
203 Beyond the physiological manifestations, heat stress impacts the behavioral repertoire of sows, often  
204 leading to altered feeding patterns, reduced activity levels, and altered social interactions [55,56].  
205 Recognizing these behavioral indicators becomes imperative for early detection and intervention.  
206 Enrichment strategies, encompassing the provision of manipulable materials and environmental stimuli,  
207 aim to mitigate stress through the promotion of natural behaviors. Additionally, considerations for space  
208 allowance and social dynamics within groups of lactating sows play a pivotal role in fostering a positive  
209 welfare state [57–59]. In the convergence of technological innovation, microenvironment optimization,  
210 and behavioral welfare considerations, a comprehensive approach to managing heat stress in lactating  
211 sows materializes, grounded in both scientific principles and ethical dimensions within contemporary  
212 swine production systems.

213

214 **Stress recognition and enrichment strategies**

215 Recognizing stress indicators in lactating sows is an important issue, requiring an in-depth understanding  
216 of both physiological and behavioral manifestations. Physiologically, heat stress induces alterations in  
217 hormonal profiles, with increased cortisol levels serving as a primary indicator of the stress response  
218 [7,13,60]. Furthermore, the activation of the HPA axis contributes to systemic physiological changes,  
219 including alterations in metabolic processes and immune functions [15,16]. Behaviorally, lactating sows  
220 exhibit shifts, including increased respiration rates, reduced feed intake, altered lying patterns, and  
221 heightened restlessness [1,5,7]. The integration of precision monitoring technologies, such as  
222 accelerometers and thermal imaging, allows for non-invasive, real-time assessment of these indicators.  
223 Enrichment strategies, designed to enhance the well-being of lactating sows, constitute a proactive  
224 approach in mitigating stressors. Environmental enrichment, encompassing the provision of manipulable  
225 materials, rooting substrates, and spaces conducive to exploratory behaviors, offers a means of  
226 stimulating cognitive engagement and attenuating stress responses [52,58]. Additionally, nutritional  
227 enrichment, involving the incorporation of palatable and varied diets, serves to not only address dietary  
228 preferences but also provide a sensory dimension to the sow's environment [4,61,62].

229

230 **Selective breeding and genetic**

231 Selective breeding for heat-tolerant traits represents a pivotal avenue in the ongoing pursuit of enhancing  
232 thermotolerance in lactating sows within the context of swine production. The foundational principle lies  
233 in the identification and prioritization of specific phenotypic traits that confer thermoregulatory  
234 advantages. Genetic approaches to heat resilience present a frontier rooted in selective breeding for  
235 thermotolerant traits [9,10,63]. Identifying genetic markers associated with heat resilience enables the  
236 targeted enhancement of adaptive mechanisms [9]. Polymorphisms related to thermoregulatory pathways,  
237 such as those involved in HSP or immune responses, offer potential avenues for genetic selection [63].  
238 Integrating genomic tools and molecular breeding strategies allows for the systematic improvement of

239 sow populations, fostering enhanced resilience to heat stress [9,63]. In the convergence of physiological  
240 monitoring, enrichment strategies, and genetic advancements, a multifaceted framework emerges for  
241 recognizing, mitigating, and preemptively addressing the deleterious effects of heat stress in lactating  
242 sows within the context of contemporary swine production. Traits encompassing both physiological and  
243 behavioral adaptations to heat stress are targeted, including increased HSP expression [12], efficient heat  
244 dissipation mechanisms [10], and altered thermoregulatory behaviors [3,55,56]. The integration of  
245 advanced genomic tools and molecular techniques facilitates the identification of heritable markers  
246 associated with these advantageous traits. Genome-wide association studies and quantitative trait loci  
247 analyses [8] unveil the genetic variants linked to heat resilience, providing a roadmap for selective  
248 breeding programs. Molecular tools, such as single nucleotide polymorphism markers, enable precise and  
249 efficient selection of desired traits, fostering accelerated progress in breeding programs [8].

250 Complementary to genetic advancements, management protocols and best practices emerge as  
251 indispensable components in mitigating the impact of heat stress on lactating sows. The strategic  
252 synchronization of reproductive cycles with environmental conditions, known as seasonal breeding  
253 management, allows for the optimization of lactation periods during mild climatic phases [9,10].  
254 Additionally, strategic weaning practices, such as adapting weaning ages to align with periods of reduced  
255 heat stress [34], contribute to reducing the overall thermal burden on sows. Further, the implementation of  
256 heat abatement strategies within housing structures, including shade provision, adequate space allowance,  
257 and optimized ventilation, represents a synergy between genetic advancements and environmental  
258 management [9,58,63]. The integration of these multifaceted management protocols aligns with the  
259 broader goal of ameliorating the adverse effects of heat stress on lactating sows and underscores the  
260 necessity for a holistic approach that integrates genetic, environmental, and husbandry considerations  
261 within contemporary swine production paradigms.

262

263 **Reproductive and lactation strategies**

264 Reproductive and lactation management in heat-stressed environments necessitates an understanding of  
265 the interplay between the physiological demands of reproduction and the challenges imposed by thermal  
266 stress on lactating sows. Heat stress profoundly influences reproductive performance, as evidenced by  
267 disruptions in estrous expression, altered follicular development, and compromised oocyte quality [11,20].  
268 Thermal stress during gestation further exacerbates these challenges, leading to reduced litter sizes and  
269 compromised fetal development [10,23]. In lactation, heat stress imposes additional burdens, manifesting  
270 as diminished milk production and altered composition, ultimately compromising the growth and vitality  
271 of piglets [20,61]. Consequently, comprehensive heat stress prevention strategies are essential to  
272 safeguard reproductive success and lactation efficiency. Precision cooling systems, strategically  
273 implemented within farrowing facilities, aim to create microenvironments that alleviate thermal stress  
274 during critical reproductive and lactation phases [7,49]. Moreover, nutritional interventions, tailored to the  
275 specific metabolic demands imposed by heat stress, serve to enhance the overall resilience of lactating  
276 sows [1,24,36]. Antioxidant supplementation, such as vitamin E and C, mitigates oxidative stress,  
277 preserving reproductive and lactation performance [17,36]. The inclusion of amino acids, particularly  
278 those influencing the production of neurotransmitters and hormones, aids in modulating stress responses  
279 [24,61]. Additionally, the integration of minerals, such as selenium, chromium and zinc, augments  
280 metabolic pathways implicated in thermal adaptation [17,64]. Integrated approaches, culminating in case  
281 studies, provide contextualized insights into the application and efficacy of multifaceted strategies. Case  
282 studies illuminate the intricate orchestration of genetic, nutritional, and environmental interventions  
283 within specific production systems, shedding light on the practical challenges and successes encountered.  
284 In unraveling these complexities, an academic discourse emerges, emphasizing the necessity of a holistic  
285 approach that amalgamates scientific understanding with practical applications to mitigate the  
286 multifactorial impact of heat stress on reproductive and lactating sows in modern swine production.

287

288 **Holistic farm management**

289 Holistic farm management strategies represent a multifaceted paradigm encompassing the integration of  
290 genetic, environmental, nutritional, and managerial facets to ameliorate the impact of heat stress on  
291 lactating sows within the broader context of swine production. These strategies pivot on the premise that  
292 addressing heat stress necessitates a comprehensive understanding of the interconnected factors  
293 influencing the sow's physiological responses to elevated temperatures. Genetic selection for  
294 thermotolerance, coupled with precision climate control systems, forms the genetic-environmental nexus,  
295 optimizing the microenvironment within housing structures [9,10,63]. Concurrently, nutritional strategies  
296 tailored to sow-specific metabolic demands contribute to the holistic approach by bolstering  
297 thermoregulatory efficiency and mitigating the systemic effects of thermal stress [21,24]. Successful farm  
298 management encompasses reproductive scheduling aligned with periods of reduced heat stress, strategic  
299 weaning protocols, and the incorporation of effective cooling measures [6]. Success performance in heat  
300 stress management provides insights into the tangible benefits of holistic strategies. These improvements  
301 in reproductive performance, enhanced sow welfare, and increased piglet vitality within specific  
302 production contexts [1,11]. However, despite these successes, future directions and research gaps  
303 necessitate continued inquiry to refine existing strategies and unearth novel approaches. The molecular  
304 underpinnings of thermotolerance, including the identification of additional genetic markers and pathways,  
305 remain areas ripe for exploration. Moreover, an in-depth understanding of the long-term implications of  
306 holistic interventions, spanning multiple reproductive cycles, is pivotal for the sustained success of such  
307 strategies. The integration of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and advanced  
308 genomics, holds promise in revolutionizing heat stress management and improving resilient and  
309 sustainable future in swine production.

310

### 311 **Emerging unexplored frontiers in heat stress**

312 Emerging technologies and research frontiers in the domain of heat stress mitigation for lactating sows  
313 herald a paradigmatic shift, marked by the infusion of cutting-edge tools and innovative methodologies  
314 that delve into unexplored areas of physiological adaptation and environmental manipulation. On the

315 forefront of research frontiers lies the application of advanced genomics, where high-throughput  
316 sequencing techniques, coupled with precision genome-editing technologies such as single-nucleotide  
317 polymorphism and genome-wide association studies, offer unprecedented opportunities for the  
318 identification and manipulation of specific genetic loci associated with heat resilience [8,65]. The  
319 integration of transcriptomics and metabolomics unveils intricate molecular pathways and metabolite  
320 signatures indicative of thermotolerance [2,12], providing a holistic understanding of the adaptive  
321 responses within the sow. Concurrently, the utilization of artificial intelligence and machine learning  
322 algorithms, harnessing large-scale datasets encompassing genotypic, phenotypic, and environmental  
323 parameters, facilitates predictive modeling of heat stress susceptibility and aids in the formulation of  
324 personalized interventions.

325 Environmental manipulation, guided by advancements in precision livestock farming, represents another  
326 unexplored frontier [18,20,52]. Real-time monitoring systems, equipped with environmental sensors and  
327 thermographic imaging, enable the assessment of thermal dynamics and sow well-being [18]. Moreover,  
328 the incorporation of microclimate modulation within housing structures, leveraging smart materials and  
329 adaptive control systems, allows for precise adjustment of temperature gradients, catering to the diverse  
330 thermal preferences of individual sows. The exploration of uncharted territories also extends to the  
331 microbiome, with investigations into the gut and skin microbiota fostering insights into the symbiotic  
332 relationships influencing immune function and thermoregulation.

333 Furthermore, the intersection of behavioral sciences and heat stress research unveils unexplored cognitive  
334 dimensions, delving into stress coping mechanisms, social dynamics, and the impact of enriched  
335 environments on sow behavior [3,54]. In this amalgamation of emerging technologies and research  
336 frontiers, the unexplored areas in heat stress mitigation manifest as intricate landscapes where molecular,  
337 environmental, and behavioral intricacies converge. Continued inquiry into these realms is pivotal for the  
338 holistic advancement of heat stress management strategies, offering a nuanced understanding of the  
339 physiological, genetic, and environmental nuances influencing the resilience of lactating sows within  
340 contemporary swine production paradigms.

341

342 **Conclusion**

343 In conclusion, mitigating heat stress in lactating sows demands a holistic strategy integrating nutritional,  
344 technological, genetic, and behavioral considerations. Physiological responses to elevated temperatures  
345 involve intricate mechanisms like vasodilation and hormonal modulation. Environmental factors such as  
346 temperature, humidity, and ventilation significantly impact heat stress severity. Strategies explored  
347 encompass dietary adjustments, technological innovations, genetic approaches, and behavioral  
348 considerations. Holistic farm management, combining these elements, shows tangible benefits in  
349 reproductive performance and sow welfare. Emerging technologies like genomics, artificial intelligence,  
350 and microbiome research offer new avenues. Future research must explore the long-term implications and  
351 sustainability of interventions. Integrating these advancements promises to reshape our understanding and  
352 management of heat stress in lactating sows, ensuring their well-being and productivity in modern swine  
353 production.

354

355

356 **Korean Abstract**

357 main text

358

359

360 **Acknowledgments**

361 main text

362

363

364

365 **References**

366 1. Oh S, Hosseindoust A, Ha S, Moturi J, Mun J, Tajudeen H, et al. Metabolic Responses of Dietary Fiber  
367 during Heat Stress: Effects on Reproductive Performance and Stress Level of Gestating Sows.

368 Metabolites. 2022;12.

369 2. He J, Guo H, Zheng W, Xue Y, Zhao R, Yao W. Heat stress affects fecal microbial and metabolic

- 370 alterations of primiparous sows during late gestation. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol.* 2019;10.
- 371 3. Baert S, Aubé L, Haley DB, Bergeron R, Devillers N. The protective role of wallowing against heat  
372 stress in gestating and lactating sows housed outdoors. *Physiol Behav.* 2022;254.
- 373 4. Tummaruk P, De Rensis F, Kirkwood RN. Managing prolific sows in tropical environments. *Mol.*  
374 *Reprod. Dev.* 2023.
- 375 5. Shang Q, Liu S, Liu H, Mahfuz S, Piao X. Impact of sugar beet pulp and wheat bran on serum  
376 biochemical profile, inflammatory responses and gut microbiota in sows during late gestation and  
377 lactation. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol.* 2021;12.
- 378 6. Moturi J, Hosseindoust A, Tajudeen H, Mun JY, Ha SH, Kim JS. Influence of dietary fiber intake and  
379 soluble to insoluble fiber ratio on reproductive performance of sows during late gestation under hot  
380 climatic conditions. *Sci Rep [Internet].* 2022;12:19749. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-23811-8)  
381 [022-23811-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-23811-8)
- 382 7. Silva BAN, Oliveira RFM, Donzele JL, Fernandes HC, Abreu MLT, Noblet J, et al. Effect of floor  
383 cooling on performance of lactating sows during summer. *Livest Sci.* 2006;105.
- 384 8. Tajudeen H, Moturi J, Hosseindoust A, Ha S, Mun J, Choi Y, et al. Effects of various cooling methods  
385 and drinking water temperatures on reproductive performance and behavior in heat stressed sows. *J Anim*  
386 *Sci Technol. Korea (South);* 2022;64:782–91.
- 387 9. Shi L, Li Y, Liu Q, Zhang L, Wang L, Liu X, et al. Identification of SNPs and Candidate Genes for  
388 Milk Production Ability in Yorkshire Pigs. *Front Genet.* 2021;12.
- 389 10. Gourdine JL, Mandonnet N, Giorgi M, Renaudeau D. Genetic parameters for thermoregulation and  
390 production traits in lactating sows reared in tropical climate. *Animal.* 2017;11.
- 391 11. Black JL, Mullan BP, Lorschy ML, Giles LR. Lactation in the sow during heat stress. *Livest Prod Sci.*  
392 1993;35.
- 393 12. Ni Y, Wu F, Chen Q, Cai J, Hu J, Shen J, et al. Long noncoding RNA and mRNA profiling of  
394 hypothalamic-pituitary-mammary gland axis in lactating sows under heat stress. *Genomics.* 2020;112.
- 395 13. Nejad JG, Sung KI. Blood hormone profiles, physiological variables, and behavioral criteria in  
396 Corriedale ewes fed different TMR moisture levels during thermal–humidity exposure. *Biol Rhythm Res.*  
397 2018;49.
- 398 14. Nejad JG, Ataallahi M, Park KH. Methodological validation of measuring Hanwoo hair cortisol  
399 concentration using bead beater and surgical scissors. *J Anim Sci Technol.* 2019;61:41.
- 400 15. Ataallahi M, Nejad JG, Park K-H. Selection of appropriate biomatrices for studies of chronic stress in  
401 animals: a review. *J Anim Sci Technol. Korea (South);* 2022;64:621–39.
- 402 16. Ghassemi Nejad J, Kim BW, Lee BH, Sung K Il. Coat and hair color: hair cortisol and serotonin  
403 levels in lactating Holstein cows under heat stress conditions. *Anim Sci J.* 2017;88:190–4.
- 404 17. Kim KY, Hosseindoust A, Choi YH, Kim MJ, Lee JH, Kim TG, et al. Hot-Melt Extruded Selenium: a  
405 Highly Absorbable Nano-Selenium in Lactating Sows Exposed to High Ambient Temperature. *Biol Trace*

406 Elem Res. 2021;199:3345–53.

407 18. Quiniou N. Results of 15 years of precision feeding of hyper prolific gestating sows. *Animals*.  
408 2021;11.

409 19. Rigo EJ, Antunes RC, Mundim A V., Gonçalves FC, Guimarães EC, Nascimento MRBM. Effect of  
410 two cooling systems on thyroid hormones and thermophysiological variables of lactating sows. *Arq Bras*  
411 *Med Vet e Zootec*. 2019;71.

412 20. Vilas Boas Ribeiro BP, Lanferdini E, Palencia JYP, Lemes MAG, Teixeira de Abreu ML, de Souza  
413 Cantarelli V, et al. Heat negatively affects lactating swine: A meta-analysis. *J Therm Biol*. 2018;74.

414 21. Liu F, de Ruyter EM, Athorn RZ, Brewster CJ, Henman DJ, Morrison RS, et al. Effects of L-citrulline  
415 supplementation on heat stress physiology, lactation performance and subsequent reproductive  
416 performance of sows in summer. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl)*. 2019;103.

417 22. Ricci G Dela, Silva-Miranda KO da, Titto CG. Infrared thermography as a non-invasive method for  
418 the evaluation of heat stress in pigs kept in pens free of cages in the maternity. *Comput Electron Agric*.  
419 2019;157.

420 23. He J, Zheng W, Lu M, Yang X, Xue Y, Yao W. A controlled heat stress during late gestation affects  
421 thermoregulation, productive performance, and metabolite profiles of primiparous sow. *J Therm Biol*.  
422 2019;81.

423 24. Choi Y, Hosseindoust A, Shim Y, Kim M, Kumar A, Oh S, et al. Evaluation of high nutrient diets on  
424 litter performance of heat-stressed lactating sows. *Asian-Australasian J Anim Sci*. 2017;30:1598.

425 25. Brandt P, Bjerg B, Pedersen P, Sørensen KB, Rong L, Huang T, et al. The effect of air temperature,  
426 velocity and humidity on respiration rate and rectal temperature as an expression of heat stress in  
427 gestating sows. *J Therm Biol*. 2022;104.

428 26. Cabezón FA, Schinckel AP, Marchant JN, Johnson JS, Stwalley RM. Effect of floor cooling on late  
429 lactation sows under acute heat stress. *Livest Sci*. 2017;206.

430 27. Johnson JS, Wen H, Freitas PHF, Maskal JM, Hartman SO, Byrd MK, et al. Evaluating phenotypes  
431 associated with heat tolerance and identifying moderate and severe heat stress thresholds in lactating sows  
432 housed in mechanically or naturally ventilated barns during the summer under commercial conditions. *J*  
433 *Anim Sci*. 2023;101.

434 28. Johnson JS, Jansen TL, Galvin M, Field TC, Graham JR, Stwalley RM, et al. Electronically controlled  
435 cooling pads can improve litter growth performance and indirect measures of milk production in heat-  
436 stressed lactating sows. *J Anim Sci*. 2022;100.

437 29. Choi YH, Moturi J, Hosseindoust A, Kim MJ, Kim KY, Lee JH, et al. Night feeding in lactating sows  
438 is an essential management approach to decrease the detrimental impacts of heat stress. *J Anim Sci*  
439 *Technol*. 2019;61:333.

440 30. Schoenherr WD, Stahly TS, Cromwell GL. The effects of dietary fat or fiber addition on yield and  
441 composition of milk from sows housed in a warm or hot environment. *J Anim Sci*. 1989;67.

- 442 31. Hosseindoust A, Oh SM, Ko HS, Jeon SM, Ha SH, Jang A, et al. Muscle antioxidant activity and  
443 meat quality are altered by supplementation of astaxanthin in broilers exposed to high temperature.  
444 Antioxidants. 2020;9:1032.
- 445 32. Moura CS, Lollo PCB, Morato PN, Amaya-Farfan J. Dietary nutrients and bioactive substances  
446 modulate heat shock protein (HSP) expression: A review. Nutrients. 2018.
- 447 33. Zhang S, Johnson JS, Trottier NL. Effect of dietary near ideal amino acid profile on heat production  
448 of lactating sows exposed to thermal neutral and heat stress conditions. J Anim Sci Biotechnol. 2020;11.
- 449 34. Farmer C. Review: Mammary development in swine: effects of hormonal status, nutrition and  
450 management. Can J Anim Sci. 2013;93.
- 451 35. Pérez Laspiur J, Farmer C, Kerr BJ, Zanella A, Trottier NL. Hormonal response to dietary L-arginine  
452 supplementation in heat-stressed sows. Can J Anim Sci. 2006;86.
- 453 36. Liu F, Cottrell JJ, Collins CL, Henman DJ, O'Halloran KSB, Dunshea FR. Supplementation of  
454 selenium, vitamin E, chromium and betaine above recommended levels improves lactating performance  
455 of sows over summer. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2017;49.
- 456 37. Lin S, Hou J, Xiang F, Zhang X, Che L, Lin Y, et al. Reproductive stage associated changes in plasma  
457 fatty acid profile and proinflammatory cytokine expression in rat mammary glands. Anim Nutr. 2016;2.
- 458 38. Llauradó-Calero E, Badiola I, Samarra I, Lizardo R, Torrallardona D, Esteve-Garcia E, et al.  
459 Eicosapentaenoic acid- and docosahexaenoic acid-rich fish oil in sow and piglet diets modifies blood  
460 oxylipins and immune indicators in both, sows and suckling piglets. Animal. 2022;16.
- 461 39. TAUGBØL O, FRAMSTAD T, SAAREM K. Supplements of Cod Liver Oil to Lactating Sows.  
462 Influence on Milk Fatty Acid Composition and Growth Performance of Piglets. J Vet Med Ser A.  
463 1993;40.
- 464 40. Moturi J, Kim KY, Hosseindoust A, Lee JH, Xuan B, Park J, et al. Effects of *Lactobacillus salivarius*  
465 isolated from feces of fast-growing pigs on intestinal microbiota and morphology of suckling piglets. Sci  
466 Rep. 2021;11:6757.
- 467 41. Choi Y, Goel A, Hosseindoust A, Lee S, Kim K, Jeon S, et al. Effects of dietary supplementation of  
468 *Ecklonia cava* with or without probiotics on the growth performance, nutrient digestibility, immunity and  
469 intestinal health in weanling pigs. Ital. J. Anim. Sci. 2016. p. 62–8.
- 470 42. Kim JS, Hosseindoust A, Lee SH, Choi YH, Kim MJ, Lee JH, et al. Bacteriophage cocktail and multi-  
471 strain probiotics in the feed for weanling pigs: Effects on intestine morphology and targeted intestinal  
472 coliforms and *Clostridium*. Animal. 2017;11:45–53.
- 473 43. Hosseindoust AR, Lee SH, Kim JS, Choi YH, Kwon IK, Chae BJ. Productive performance of  
474 weanling piglets was improved by administration of a mixture of bacteriophages, targeted to control  
475 *Coliforms* and *Clostridium* spp. shedding in a challenging environment. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr  
476 (Berl). 2017;101:98–107.
- 477 44. Hosseindoust A, Park JW, Kim IH. Effects of *Bacillus subtilis*, Kefir and  $\beta$ -Glucan Supplementation

478 on Growth Performance, Blood Characteristics, Meat Quality and Intestine Microbiota in Broilers.  
479 Korean J Poult Sci. 2016;43:159–67.

480 45. Kim MJ, Hosseindoust A, Choi YH, Lee JH, Kim KY, Kim TG, et al. Effects of Hot-Melt Extruded  
481 Nano-Copper as an Alternative for the Pharmacological Dose of Copper Sulfate in Weanling Pigs. Biol  
482 Trace Elem Res. 2021;199:2925–35.

483 46. Serpunja S, Abdolreza H, Kim IH. A Mixture of Thyme, Quillaja, and Anise at Different Nutrient  
484 Density on Growth Performance, Nutrient Digestibility, Meat Quality, Organ Weight, Cecal Bacteria,  
485 Excreta Moisture, and Bone Contents in Broiler Chicks. Korean J Poult Sci. 2017;44:151–9.

486 47. Mohammadi Gheisar M, Hosseindoust A, Kim IH. Evaluating the effect of microencapsulated blends  
487 of organic acids and essential oils in broiler chickens diet. J Appl Poult Res. 2015;24.

488 48. Jiao Y, Hosseindoust A, Zhang WL, Kim IH. Effects of salicornia herbacea on growth performance,  
489 meat quality, excreta microbial populations, and noxious gas emissions in broiler chicks. J Poult Sci.  
490 2019;56:44–51.

491 49. Maskal J, Cabezón FA, Schinckel AP, Marchant-Forde JN, Johnson JS, Stwalley RM. Evaluation of  
492 floor cooling on lactating sows under mild and moderate heat stress. Prof Anim Sci. 2018;34.

493 50. Van JCF, Tham PE, Lim HR, Khoo KS, Chang JS, Show PL. Integration of Internet-of-Things as  
494 sustainable smart farming technology for the rearing of black soldier fly to mitigate food waste. J Taiwan  
495 Inst Chem Eng. 2022;137.

496 51. Dourmad J-Y, Le Velly V, Gourdine J-L, Renaudeau D. Effect of ambient temperature in lactating  
497 sows, a meta-analysis and simulation approach in the context of climate change. Anim - Open Sp.  
498 2022;1:100025.

499 52. Kim KH, Hosseindoust A, Ingale SL, Lee SH, Noh HS, Choi YH, et al. Effects of gestational housing  
500 on reproductive performance and behavior of sows with different backfat thickness. Asian-Australasian J  
501 Anim Sci. 2016;29:42.

502 53. Bjerg B, Brandt P, Pedersen P, Zhang G. Sows' responses to increased heat load – A review. J.  
503 Therm. Biol. 2020.

504 54. Zhu Y, Johnston LJ, Reese MH, Buchanan ES, Tallaksen JE, Hilbrands AH, et al. Effects of cooled  
505 floor pads combined with chilled drinking water on behavior and performance of lactating sows under  
506 heat stress. J Anim Sci. 2021;99.

507 55. Liu L, Tai M, Yao W, Zhao R, Shen M. Effects of heat stress on posture transitions and reproductive  
508 performance of primiparous sows during late gestation. J Therm Biol. 2021;96.

509 56. Mós JV do N, Nascimento ST, Murata LS, dos Santos VM, Neto AJS, de Oliveira EM, et al. Thermal  
510 comfort of sows in free-range system in Brazilian Savanna. J Therm Biol. 2020;88.

511 57. Agyekum AK, Nyachoti CM. Nutritional and Metabolic Consequences of Feeding High-Fiber Diets  
512 to Swine: A Review. Engineering. 2017;3.

513 58. Bench CJ, Rioja-Lang FC, Hayne SM, Gonyou HW. Group gestation housing with individual

- 514 feeding-I: How feeding regime, resource allocation, and genetic factors affect sow welfare. *Livest. Sci.*  
515 2013.
- 516 59. Meunier-Salaün MC, Edwards SA, Robert S. Effect of dietary fibre on the behaviour and health of the  
517 restricted fed sow. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* 2001;90.
- 518 60. Ghassemi Nejad J, Lee BH, Kim JY, Kim BW, Chemere B, Park KH, et al. Comparing hair cortisol  
519 concentrations from various body sites and serum cortisol in Holstein lactating cows and heifers during  
520 thermal comfort zone. *J Vet Behav.* 2019;30:92–5.
- 521 61. Kim KY, Choi YH, Hosseindoust A, Kim MJ, Hwang SJ, Bu MS, et al. Evaluation of high nutrient  
522 diets and additional dextrose on reproductive performance and litter performance of heat-stressed  
523 lactating sows. *Anim Sci J.* 2019;90:1212–9.
- 524 62. Lee SH, Hosseindoust AR, Kim JS, Choi YH, Lee JH, Kwon IK, et al. Bacteriophages as a promising  
525 anti-pathogenic option in creep-feed for suckling piglets: Targeted to control *Clostridium* spp. and  
526 coliforms faecal shedding. *Livest Sci.* 2016;191.
- 527 63. Freitas PHF, Johnson JS, Wen H, Maskal JM, Tiezzi F, Maltecca C, et al. Genetic parameters for  
528 automatically-measured vaginal temperature, respiration efficiency, and other thermotolerance indicators  
529 measured on lactating sows under heat stress conditions. *Genet Sel Evol.* 2023;55.
- 530 64. Oh SM, Kim MJ, Hosseindoust A, Kim KY, Choi YH, Ham H Bin, et al. Hot melt extruded-based  
531 nano zinc as an alternative to the pharmacological dose of ZnO in weanling piglets. *Asian-Australasian J*  
532 *Anim Sci.* 2020;33:992.
- 533 65. Gutiérrez B, Domingo-Calap P. Phage therapy in gastrointestinal diseases. *Microorganisms.* 2020.

534  
535

## 536 **Tables and Figures**

- 537 - Tables and Figures can be placed in separate files.

538